

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.weylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/598,884	09/14/2006	Grzegorz Olesch	264/9-2862	1729	
28147 WILLIAM J. S	7590 09/02/2011 SAPONE	EXAM	EXAMINER		
	UDOL SAPONE P.C.	SMITH, MATTHEW J			
714 COLORA BRIDGE POR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	-,		3635		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/02/2011	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

wsapone@cssiplaw.com wjspatent@aol.com wsapone@colemansudolsapone.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/598,884	OLESCH, GRZEGORZ	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Matthew J. Smith	3635	

	Matthew J. Smith	3635					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 02 August 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.					
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following in application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods;</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706,07(	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	on.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period civil under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
<ul> <li>3.</li></ul>	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	ΓE below);					
appeal; and/or		and and administration					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co	mnliant Amendment (	PTOL-324)				
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>		npilant Americanent (	F 10L-324).				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
7. \( \subseteq  for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
<ol> <li>Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)</li> <li>⊠ Other: the "room" limitation is confusing, does not simplify the issues, and is not patentable over the prior art of record.</li> </ol>							
/EILEEN D LILLIS/	/M. J. S./						
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3635	Examiner, Art Unit 3635						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office